Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 7 (1): 78-80, 2011 ISSN 1549-3644 © 2010 Science Publications

# **Remark on Bi-Ideals and Quasi-Ideals of Variants of Regular Rings**

<sup>1</sup>Samruam Baupradist and <sup>2,3</sup>Ronnason Chinram <sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla 90110, Thailand <sup>3</sup>Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, CHE, Si Ayuthaya Road, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

**Abstract: Problem statement:** Every quasi-ideal of a ring is a bi-ideal. In general, a bi-ideal of a ring need not be a quasi-ideal. Every bi-ideal of regular rings is a quasi-ideal, so bi-ideals and quasi-ideals of regular rings coincide. It is known that variants of a regular ring need not be regular. The aim of this study is to study bi-ideals and quasi-ideals of variants of regular rings. **Approach:** The technique of the proof of main theorem use the properties of regular rings and bi-ideals. **Results:** It shows that every bi-ideal of variants of regular rings is a quasi-ideal. **Conclusion:** Although the variant of regular rings need not be regular but every bi-ideal of variants of regular rings is a quasi-ideal.

**Key words:** Bi-ideals, quasi-ideals, variants, regular rings, BQ-rings

## **INTRODUCTION**

 The notion of quasi-ideals in rings was introduced by (Steinfeld, 1953) while the notion of bi-ideals in rings was introduced much later. It was actually introduced (Lajos and Sza'sz, 1971).

 For nonempty subsets A, B of a ring R, AB denotes the set of all finite sums of the form  $\sum a_i b_i, a_i \in A, b_i \in B$ . A subring Q of a ring R is called a quasi-ideal of R if RQ∩QR⊆Q and a bi-ideal of R is a subring B of R such that BRB⊂B. Every quasi-ideal of R is a bi-ideal. In general, bi-ideals of rings need not be quasi-ideals. See the following example. Consider the ring  $(SU_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  of all strictly upper triangular  $4 \times 4$ matrices over the field  $\mathbb R$  of real numbers under the usual addition and multiplication of matrices.

Let B = 
$$
\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} | x \in \mathbb{R} \Bigg\}.
$$

Then B is a zero subring of  $(SU_4(R, +, \cdot))$ . Moreover, BSU<sub>4</sub>( $\mathbb{R}$ )B = {0}. Thus B is a bi-ideal of (SU<sub>4</sub>( $\mathbb{R}$ , +, ·).

 $\in (SU_4(\mathbb{R})B \cap BSU_4(\mathbb{R})) \setminus B.$  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 0000 00000001  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  $0 \t0 \t0 \t1 0 \t0 \t0$ 00000001  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ =

So B is not a quasi-ideal of  $(SU_4(R, +, \cdot).$ 

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

 An element a of a ring R is called regular if there exists x in S such that  $a = axa$ . A ring R is called regular if every element in R is regular. The following known result shows a sufficient condition for a bi-ideal of a ring to be a quasi-ideal.

**Theorem 1:** If B is a bi-ideal of a ring R such that every element of B is regular in R, then B is a quasiideal of R. In particular, if R is a regular ring, then every bi-ideal of R is a quasi-ideal.

Let R be a ring and  $a \in R$ . A new product o defined on R by x o y = xay for all x, y ∈R. Then  $(R, +, o)$  is a

**Corresponding Author:** Samruam Baupradist, Department of Mathematics, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

But

ring. We usually write  $(R, +, a)$  rather that  $(R, +, o)$  to make the element a explicit. The ring  $(R, +, a)$  is called a variant of R with respect to a. It is well-known that the variant of regular rings need not be regular ring (see (Kemprasit, 2002) and (Chinram, 2009).

 Our aim is to prove that every bi-ideal of variants of regular rings is a quasi-ideal. In fact, the technique of the proof of Theorem 1 is helpful for our work. However, our proof is more complicated.

## **RESULTS**

The following theorem is our main result.

**Theorem 2:** Let R be a regular ring and a∈R. Then every bi-ideal of the ring  $(R, +, a)$  is a quasi-ideal.

**Proof:** Let B be a bi-ideal of a ring  $(R, +, a)$ . Then BaRaB  $\subseteq$  B. To show that RaB  $\cap$  BaR  $\subseteq$  B, let x be an element of RaB  $\cap$  BaR.

Then:

$$
x \in RaB
$$
 (1)

and

$$
x = b_{11}ar_1 + b_{12}ar_2 + \dots + b_{1n}ar_n \tag{2}
$$

for some  $b_{11}$ ,  $b_{12}$ ,  $b_{1n}$   $\in$  B and  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $r_n$   $\in$  R.

Since each  $b_{ii} a \in R$  and  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is a regular ring, there exists  $s_{ii} \in R$  such that  $b_{ii} a = b_{ii} a s_{1i} b_{1i} a$ . By (2), we have:

$$
x = b_{11}as_{11}b_{11}ar_{11} + b_{12}as_{12}b_{12}ar_2 + \dots + b_{1n}as_{1n}b_{1n}ar_n \tag{3}
$$

and

$$
b_{11}as_{11}b_{11}ar_1 = b_{11}as_{11} (x - b_{12}ar_2 - ... - b_{1n}ar_n)
$$
  
=  $b_{11}as_{11}x - b_{11}as_{11}b_{12}ar_2 - ... - b_{11}as_{11}b_{1n}ar_n.$  (4)

It then follows from (3) and (4) that:

$$
x = b_{11}as_{11}x + (b_{12}as_{12}b_{12} - b_{11}as_{11}b_{12})ar_2
$$
  
+...+ $(b_{1n}as_{1n}b_{1n} - b_{11}as_{11}b_{1n})ar_n$ .

But from  $(1)$  and  $(2)$ :

 $b_{11}$ as<sub>11</sub> $x \in Bas_{11}RaB \subseteq BaRaB$ 

and for  $i \in \{2, 3, ..., n\},\$ 

 $b_{i,i}$   $as_{i,i}$   $b_{i}$   $b_{i}$   $as_{i,j}$   $b_{i}$   $\in$   $Bas_{i}$   $B$   $Bas_{i,j}$   $B$   $\subseteq$   $BaR$ .

So:

$$
x = b_1 + b_{22}ar_2 + \dots + b_{2n}ar_n \tag{5}
$$

for some  $b_1 \in BaRaB$  and  $b_{22},...,b_{2n} \in BaR$ .

Since for  $i \in \{2,3,\ldots,n\}$ ,  $b_{2i}$  a  $\in$  R, we have that for each  $i \in \{2,3,...,n\}$ ,  $b_{2i}$  a =  $b_{2i}$  as  $b_{2i}$  a for some  $s_{2i} \in R$ . Thus from (5),

$$
x = b_1 + b_{22}as_{22}b_{22}ar_2 + \dots + b_{2n}as_{2n}b_{2n}ar_n \tag{6}
$$

and

$$
b_{22}as_{22}b_{22}ar_2 = b_{22}as_{22}(x - b_1 - b_{23}ar_3 - \dots - b_{2n}ar_n)
$$
  
=  $b_{22}as_{22}x - b_{22}as_{22}b_1 - b_{22}as_{22}b_{23}ar_3$  (7)  
-... -  $b_{22}as_{22}b_{2n}ar_n$ .

We then deduce from  $(6)$  and  $(7)$  that:

 $+\left(b_{23}as_{23}b_{23}-b_{22}as_{22}b_{23}\right)$ ar<sub>2</sub> +...+  $(b_{2n}as_{2n}b_{2n} - b_{22}as_{22}b_{2n})$ ar<sub>n</sub>  $x = b_1 + b_{22} a s_{22} x - b_{22} a s_{22} b_1$ .

But from  $(1)$  and  $(5)$ :

 $b_1 \in BaRaB$ ,  $b_{22}$ as<sub>22</sub> $x \in BaRas_{22}RaB \subseteq BaRaB$ ,  $b_{22}$ as $_{22}b_1 \in BaRas_{22}BaRaB \subseteq BaRaB$ 

and for  $i \in \{3, ..., n\},\$ 

 $b_{2i}$ as<sub>2i</sub> $b_{2i} - b_{22}$ as<sub>22</sub> $b_{21}$  $\in$  BaRas<sub>2i</sub> BaR + BaRas<sub>22</sub> BaR.

Thus  $b_{2i}$   $as_{2i}$  $b_{2i}$   $- b_{2i}$   $as_{2i}$  $b_{2i}$   $\in$  BaR, so we have:

 $x = b_2 + b_{33}ar_3 + ... + b_{3n}ar_n$ 

for some  $b_2 \in BaRaB$  and  $b_{33},..., b_{3n} \in BaR$ .

Continuing in this fashion, we obtain the  $n-1$ <sup>th</sup> step that:

 $x = b_{n-1} + b_{nn}ar_n$  (8)

for some  $b_{n-1} \in BaRaB$  and  $b_{nn} \in BaR$ .

Let  $s_m \in R$  be such that  $b_m a = b_m a s_m b_m a$ . Then from (8):

$$
x = b_{n-1} + b_{nn} a s_{nn} b_{nn} a r_n \tag{9}
$$

and

 $b_{nn}as_{nn}b_{nn}as_{nn}b_{nn}ar_{n} = b_{nn}as_{nn}(x - b_{n-1})$  $= b_{nn} \omega_{nn} \omega_{nn} \omega_{nn} \omega_{nn} \omega_{nn} \omega_{nn} \omega_{nn} \times b_{n-1}$  (10)<br>=  $b_{nn} a s_{nn} a s_{nn} b_{n-1}$ .

Thus we obtain from (9) and (10) that:

$$
x = b_{n-1} + b_{nn} a s_{nn} x - b_{nn} a s_{nn} b_{n-1}.
$$

But since by  $(1)$  and  $(8)$ :

 $b_{n-1} \in BaRaB$ ,  $b_{nn}a s_{nn} x \in BaRas_{nn}RaB \subseteq BaRaB$  and  $b_{nn}as_{nn}b_{n-1} \in BaRas_{nn}BaRaB \subseteq BaRaB$ ,

it follows that  $x \in BaRaB$  which implies that  $x \in B$ .

This proves that RaB  $\cap$  BaR  $\subseteq$  B, so B is a quasiideal of the ring  $(R, +, a)$ .

Hence the theorem is proved.

#### **DISCUSSION**

 It is known that every bi-ideal of regular rings is a quasi-ideal. However, although the variant of regular rings need not be a regular ring but every bi-ideal of variants of regular rings is a quasi-ideal.

## **CONCLUSION**

 Every bi-ideal of variants of regular rings is a quasi-ideal, so bi-ideals and quasi-ideals of variants of regular rings coincide.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Chinram, C., 2009. Regularity-preserving elements of regular rings. Sci. Asia, 35: 111-112. DOI: 10.2306/scienceasia1513-1874.2009.35.111
- Kemprasit, Y., 2002. Regularity and unit-regularity of generalized semigroups of linear transformations. Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 25: 617-622. DOI: 10.1007/s100120200006
- Kemprasit Y. and S. Baupradist, 2001. A note on the multiplicative semigroups Z*n* whose bi-ideals are quasi-ideals. Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 25: 269-271. DOI: 10.1007/s10012-001-0269-9
- Lajos, S. and F.A. Sza'sz, 1970. On the bi-ideals in associative rings. Proc. Japan Acad., Ser. A, 46: 505-507. DOI:10.3792/pja/1195520265
- Steinfeld, O., 1953. On ideal-quotients and prime ideals. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 4: 289-298. DOI: 10.1007/BF02127587